


IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWAREEdward Gibbs pro-se,  
Appellant,

V.

Warden Thomas Carrol  
Appellee,

C.V. Act. No: 07-36-JJF



## Appellant Response To State's Answer

Comes now, The Appellant, Edward Gibbs pro-se,  
Pursuant to The Rules Governing Section 2254 Actions  
28 U.S.C. § 2254, Appellant state the following response:

1. Appellant will respond to the 1st claim: Superior court failed to make an inquiry into the conflict: Appellant once Again sends his Exhibits 1 pgs. 4 & 5 Appellant informed court that counsel isht Subpoenaing witnesses and that's a conflict. See Appellant motion Evidentiary Hearing, and Exhibits on Same claim.

Appellant cites Campbell V. Rice 265 F3d 882: See page 4 Exhibit 1 Appellant left without Counsel when Judge invited Dunn to contradict her client U.S. V. Gonzalez 113 F3d 1026.

Also Appellant cites Holloway 435 U.S. at 484-85 Automatically reversal court fail to perform duty. Appellant was forced to go to trial with a Attorney and a conflict, The Holloway case And Glasser are precebt cases cited throughout Campbell which issue is a constitutional issue.

Appellants understanding of the Law and research leads him to think he has to notify the court of a conflict.

3. Supreme Court Errored; Supreme Court erreded didn't hear Appellant ineffective Assistance counsel on Direct Appeal. There is no Delaware Law Superior court, Supreme court, that says ineffective Assistance counsel can't be raised on Appeal. Appellate raised the claims down in Superior court in his motion to Dismiss counsel an Extensive colloquy was held 12-19-2003. See Lewis V. State 757 A2d 714, Lewis was represented by counsel at trial and by public defender on Appeal Appellant was represented by counsel at trial but filed his Direct Appeal pro-se and raised the issues in the Lower court, ... U.S. V. Finley 245 F3d 199, 204 (2d 2001) court may hear ineffective on direct Appeal because defendant represented by new counsel...

4. Appellant claim Superior court lacked Jurisdiction:

See Del. Const. Art. 43 § 8, Appellant was represented by a public defender who had Appellant to believe that if he waived his preliminary hearing he would dispose of the F/A/c charge with a 30 day plea...

Appellant didn't make a knowing voluntary waiver of the preliminary hearing. For a waiver to be effective it must be clearly established that there was an intentional relinquishment or abandonment of a known right or privilege... 58 S.Ct. 1019 86 S.Ct. 1247... Super.Ct. R. 7(b)

Waiver of indictment.

Appellant state that he has been served another miscarriage of Justice See counsel response to claim. A waiver must be in open court...

Wherefore Appellant hopes and prays that this court  
will reverse and remand this case for a new trial  
in accord to the U.S. Const. 6 Amend. And Appoint  
Effective counsel to represent Appellant.

Dated: June 12, 2007

Edward Gibbs 910-32  
Edward Gibbs

D.C. 1141 Paddock Rd.  
Smyrna Del, 19977

2. Appellant claim of Ineffective Assistance of counsel is that counsel did not subpoena the Judge on Appellant witness list. See Exhibit 2 Transcripts 12-19-2003 Sentencing. Pgs. 4 & 5. Counsel Violated Appellant Sixth Amend. Right to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of counsel for his defense. Counsel Violated Appellant DE. Const. Art. 137 Right for obtaining witnesses in his or her favor, See Williams 594 F.2d 1258 (1979) pg. 125 Williams and Counsel incompatible, Counsel never investigated what witness would say. Counsel stated 12-19-03 that she didn't interview witnesses; In her Affidavit she states that she talked to Cindy Murray on the phone; She states it's a practice. The only evidence presented At trial was a modified Violation of Probation Sentence Order; Violation of Probation isn't a criminal offense Judge Richard Stokes modified this order and Judge Stokes was the Judge that violated Appellants Probation. In 1999 Appellant had a Rape charge and a Jury Trial and was acquitted Adkins was the prosecutor. Adkins charged Appellant with a nother Rape charge in Jan. 2000 Appellant had a All white Jury trial and was Acquitted again, So Adkins and Judge Stokes Violated Appellants Probation, Appellant served 4 yrs. 1/2 Level 5 and had 1 yr 9 mo. Level 4 Homeconfinement which was modified to work release. Title 1134348 states Once a person is released from serving a level V Sentence they are considered conditionally released. Appellant has Exhausted his Appeal process and can raise any ground that's been heard on Direct Appeal or Post conviction. Appellant denied Equal protection; counsel represented Joe Sanders he had 7 F. Scales on his record; Paula Ryan was prosecutor, he pled to 2nd degree 3 yrs. level V.

COPY

Exhibit 1

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE  
2 IN AND FOR SUSSEX COUNTY

3 - - - - -X  
4 STATE OF DELAWARE :

I. D. NO. 0305016899

5 v. :

CRIMINAL ACTION NO.  
03-06-0519

6 EDWARD C. GIBBS, :

7 Defendant. :

8 - - - - -X

9 T R A N S C R I P T  
10 O F  
P R O C E E D I N G S

11 Sussex County Courthouse  
12 Georgetown, Delaware  
Wednesday, October 22, 2003

13 The above-entitled matter was scheduled for  
14 hearing open court at 9:00 o'clock a.m.

15 BEFORE:

16 THE HONORABLE E. SCOTT BRADLEY, Judge.

17 APPEARANCES:

18 PAULA T. RYAN, Deputy Attorney General,  
19 appearing on behalf of the State of  
Delaware.

20 CAROLE J. DUNN, Assistant Public Defender,  
21 appearing on behalf of the Defendant.  
22  
23

EILEEN G. KIMMEL  
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 I wrote a letter to Ms. Dunn alerting her  
2 that I had this and she could come and review it at any  
3 time, but because of its being done by a court  
4 reporter, I couldn't just give her a copy of it. So  
5 she came over to my office and reviewed it. It is five  
6 pages long. If Mr. Gibbs wants to read it, he is  
7 welcome to read it, but I will not provide a copy of  
8 it.

9 \* THE COURT: All right. Do you have any  
10 response to Mr. Gibbs' concerns about representation?

11 MS. DUNN: Well, Your Honor, it is true that  
12 I believe I did tell him some time ago that I would  
13 come and talk to him about his case, and that could  
14 have been just before the major trial started which was  
15 concluded a couple weeks ago. But I will say that we  
16 have been in pretty constant communication through the  
17 mail.

18 Mr. Gibbs has been sending me information  
19 that he has researched in the law library there. He  
20 has very specific and strong feelings about what  
21 constitutes the crime of escape after conviction. I  
22 have sent him case law on the subject and we have  
23 discussed the case. It is a one-count case and escape

1 after conviction is the charge, and the allegation is  
2 not returning to the Work Release Center.

3 THE COURT: You are obviously -- hang on a  
4 second. You are obviously satisfied that you will be  
5 prepared, certainly, by next Thursday? That's his  
6 trial date.

7 MS. DUNN: ~~I feel prepared to go to trial,~~  
8 ~~Your Honor. I will say that Mr. Gibbs and I have~~  
9 ~~approached this case differently as to the legal~~  
10 ~~definition of escape after conviction.~~

11 THE COURT: All right.

12 MS. DUNN: ~~I don't believe it has affected my~~  
13 ~~representation, however.~~

14 THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me. One more issue,  
15 okay? She sent me this witness list, right, a few  
16 months ago, and I filled it out and sent it to her.  
17 She told me to send it to her ten days prior to my  
18 trial.

19 I send her my list. I have three witnesses  
20 on there that I want her to subpoena for me. She is  
21 saying she is not going to do it. So that is a  
22 conflict there.

23 THE COURT: Well, if we are still doing this

**COPY**

Exhibit 2

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE  
IN AND FOR SUSSEX COUNTY

-----X

STATE OF DELAWARE	:	
	:	ID No. 0305016899
v.	:	Criminal Action No.
	:	S03-06-0519
EDWARD C. GIBBS,	:	
Defendant.	:	

-----X

T R A N S C R I P T  
O F  
P R O C E E D I N G S

Sussex County Courthouse  
Georgetown, Delaware  
Friday, December 19, 2003

The above-entitled matter was scheduled  
for hearing in open court at 1:30 o'clock p.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE RICHARD F. STOKES, Judge.

APPEARANCES:

PAULA T. RYAN, Deputy Attorney General,  
appearing on behalf of the State of  
Delaware.

EDWARD C. GIBBS, Defendant, pro se.  
CAROLE J. DUNN, Assistant Public Defender,  
standby counsel.

KATHY S. PURNELL  
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 THE BAILIFF: Good afternoon, Your Honor.  
3 We have on the docket for sentencing Edward Gibbs.  
4 Mr. Gibbs, come forward, please.

5 THE COURT: Set before the Court this  
6 afternoon are the matters of State v. Gibbs. There  
7 are several matters pending. I have a violation of  
8 probation, as Mr. Gibbs was on probation. I have a  
9 motion for a new trial. I have a motion to have  
10 Mr. Gibbs declared to be a habitual offender, and I  
11 have the sentencing. So I have several discreet  
12 things to take care of this afternoon.

13 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, excuse me. I  
14 filed a motion to dismiss counsel also.

15 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Gibbs, you did reflect  
16 in correspondence that you are not content with Ms.  
17 Dunn. Let me just ask you, number one, are you in a  
18 financial position to hire a private lawyer?

19 THE DEFENDANT: No, I'm not.

20 ★ THE COURT: You have the Office of the  
21 Public Defender then to represent you. How is it  
22 that you are upset with Ms. Dunn?

23 ★ THE DEFENDANT: Well, I explained all of

1 ★ this to Judge <sup>Bradley</sup> ~~Carter~~ on October 22nd. It was a  
2 conflict with us before my trial and it was never  
3 resolved. Before we went to trial, like I said on  
4 October 22nd, Judge <sup>Bradley</sup> ~~Carter~~ told us to come back the  
5 following week. We came back the following week.  
6 You was the trial judge. So this was never resolved.  
7 ★ I had problems with her before in my trial. She  
8 ★ never prepared my defense for me. We never discussed  
9 any defense, and you see what happened at trial. ★ She  
10 wasn't even prepared to represent me at trial. ★ I  
11 sent her a letter October 2nd explaining everything,  
12 asking her to come and see me so we could prepare my  
13 case for trial, and she never done neither.

14 THE COURT: Well, you were charged with  
15 escape after conviction.

16 THE DEFENDANT: Exactly. I was a  
17 probationer.

18 THE COURT: Escape after conviction, you  
19 know as the charges go, is not the most difficult  
20 case to show.

21 Do you have other things that you would like  
22 to say about your disagreement or your differences  
23 with Ms. Dunn?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Do I have other things to  
2 say?

3 THE COURT: Yes.

4 THE DEFENDANT: This is my motion.

5 THE COURT: Well, I want to hear it from  
6 you. If you have things you want to say about Ms.  
7 Dunn, say it now.

8 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. This is my motion to  
9 dismiss counsel. Carole Dunn, Paula Ryan, James  
10 Adkins, <sup>Brabley</sup> Judge ~~Graves~~, and also you, Judge Stokes,  
11 conspired in this case to have me found guilty at  
12 trial by an all-white jury.

13 Carole Dunn discussed my defense and the  
14 case and the conflict with Paula Ryan, you know what  
15 I'm saying. That's lawyer-client confidentiality.  
16 She revealed information pertaining to my  
17 representation of consultation.

18 \* Carole Dunn never came to see me to discuss  
19 the defense or to prepare for trial. The Supreme  
20 Court held that the Sixth Amendment right to counsel  
21 attaches to the critical stages of a pre-trial  
22 proceeding. U.S. v. Wade.

23 \* Carole Dunn refused to subpoena witnesses

KATHY S. PURNELL  
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

11  
NOT subpoena  
witnesses

\*1 and present a defense for me. I sent her a letter,  
2 my Exhibit A, from 6-11 to 10-22. Ms. Dunn never  
3 came to see me. And my transcript -- see the  
4 transcript of October 22nd. Right? I sent her a  
5 letter, you know, like I said, asking her to come and  
6 see me, dated October 2nd. She never came to see me,  
7 you know. And right here, it's U.S. v. Wade, you  
8 know, critical stages, are the points in a criminal  
9 proceeding when an attorney's presence is necessary  
10 to secure a defendant's right to a fair trial.

11 \* I never had a fair trial. You know, she  
12 didn't present -- well, almost through the trial when  
13 \* she told me, \* "Oh, \* now I got it," meaning she know  
14 what I'm talking about. In my correspondence that  
15 was sent to her, she never took the time out to read  
16 it or nothing.

17 Judge ~~Graves~~ <sup>Bradley</sup>, he was to be the trial judge  
18 on 10-22-03. Me and Carole Dunn appeared before  
19 Judge ~~Graves~~ <sup>Bradley</sup> and I expressed that a conflict was  
20 between defendant and counsel. Judge ~~Graves~~ <sup>Bradley</sup> stated  
21 that he would look into the situation. \* Next week, in  
22 which, you know, like I said, when we came back, you  
23 was the judge.

1           The State introduced sentencing orders from  
2           1988 in which I had already completed the sentence.  
3           I wasn't allowed to explain to the jury that  
4           conviction that I had from 1986 to 1991, was the  
5           conviction that I was serving, that I had served. I  
6           had a 15-year sentence and my conviction was served  
7           from 1986 to 1991. So how are they going to charge  
8           me with the escape after conviction? Escape after  
9           conviction is Smith v. State. It's 361 A.2d 327. He  
10           was serving a three-year sentence at Level 5. He  
11           went out on a 48-hour furlough and he never returned.  
12           That's an escape after conviction.  
13           \* I was a probationer in a halfway house and  
14           Work Release facility. A Level 4 probationer. I  
15           sent Ms. Dunn this information. It was never  
16           presented during my trial. She never presented  
17           nothing that I sent her toward my defense.

Proof beyond A reasonable Doubt

18           The defendant will be filing the complaint  
19           against Judge Stokes, Judge ~~Stokes~~ <sup>Bradley</sup>, Paula Ryan, James  
20           Adkins and Carole Dunn for violating defendant's --  
21           for violating the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment  
22           rights. Wherefore, defendant moves that the  
23           Honorable Court will dismiss counsel and let the

1 defendant proceed pro se.

2 Here is a copy of my letter, my exhibit that  
3 I sent to Ms. Dunn. It's dated 10-2-03. I am  
4 sending you the witness list, with Judge Stokes and  
5 Cindy Murray and David Phillips names. Also enclosed  
6 is a portion of some research that I've done on my  
7 case to prove this escape is a third degree.

8 I sent you a letter 9-26-03, and you still  
9 failed to respond to me. I'm asking you in a  
10 professional manner to please come see me before my  
11 case review, the 22nd. As you know, my trial is the  
12 30th and Paula Ryan isn't offering me a plea and  
13 she's seeking the habitual. I'm going to close for  
14 now. I'll await your response.

15 ★ I never got a response.

16 THE COURT: Is there anything else you would  
17 like to say, sir?

18 THE DEFENDANT: ★ First, let me state for the  
19 record I filed a motion to dismiss counsel 12-1-03.  
20 I wasn't satisfied with her representation of my case  
21 on 10-22-03. ★ I informed Judge ~~Bralley~~ that it was a  
22 conflict between Ms. Dunn and me. ★ I don't want her  
23 on my appeal. ★ I'll be filing for an ineffective

KATHY S. PURNELL  
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 assistance of counsel against her. The evidence  
2 presented at trial didn't prove the charge of escape  
3 after conviction.

4 Under Title 11, 301, you have to prove  
5 beyond a reasonable doubt each element of the  
6 offense. They want to use a status sheet from 12 to  
7 14 years ago that I pled guilty to and charged, that  
8 I served a five-year sentence from 1988 to 1991 and  
9 was released to probation. Virgil Sudler was an  
10 inmate over in Work Release. He was serving a Level  
11 5 sentence over in a Level 4 facility. He went on  
12 escape. The State allowed him to plead guilty to  
13 third-degree escape. They gave him 30 days Level 5.

14 Like I said, I was a probationer. Okay. He  
15 was serving a Level 5 sentence. Okay?

16 THE COURT: You have an escape third degree.  
17 With your background, you could do 30 days of (k).

18 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Now, can I proceed?

19 Okay. I was a probationer just as Greg Foreman. He  
20 had four escapes. He was charged with second-degree  
21 escape after conviction and he got six months at  
22 Level 5, released. He was charged with second-degree  
23 escape after conviction, picked up a charge July 4th,

1 Linwood Burton, he had four escapes. He was charged  
2 with escape after conviction. He got 30 days Level  
3 5. Blaine Lord, he was charged with second-degree  
4 escape. Okay. The only case -- okay. Out of all  
5 the people that went on escape this whole year, I was  
6 the only one tried for escape after conviction.

7 THE COURT: Well, look, you seem to be  
8 saying other people got escape third degree. You,  
9 yourself, and your background got the benefit of that  
10 way back when. You want to say they should have  
11 given me that. But that is a wholly different  
12 question of whether or not you are guilty of escape  
13 after conviction.

14 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I'm not going to  
15 argue that fact. I'm not going to argue that fact.  
16 Well, being as though you talking about that, let's  
17 talk about this Smith v. State. Okay. Escape after  
18 conviction, how did you give an escape after  
19 conviction and I was a probationer? Do you have the  
20 file, the paperwork I sent you?

21 MS. DUNN: I have all the notes.

22 THE COURT: Is there anything else you would  
23 like to say? You have made that point.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Why not?

2 THE COURT: Is there anything else you want  
3 to say?

4 \* THE DEFENDANT: Is that illegal for me to  
5 say that?

6 THE COURT: Is there anything else you would  
7 like to say?

8 \* THE DEFENDANT: Sure. I will no longer  
9 cooperate with Ms. Dunn. You, as the judge, knew the  
10 conflict between me -- well, Ms. -- I will no longer  
11 cooperate with Ms. Dunn, Carole Dunn. You, as well  
12 as Judge ~~Bradley~~, knew of the conflict. Ms. Ryan  
13 knew. She knew about my witness list and sentencing  
14 order through Carole Dunn, plus my defense. You  
15 lowered your standard of conduct by trying me by an  
16 all-white jury. \* If I hadn't told Carole Dunn to  
17 stand up and brought it to her attention, she would  
18 have never said nothing about the all-white jury.

19 THE COURT: I was in the courtroom when she  
20 made the observation about an all-white jury.

21 \* THE DEFENDANT: I told her that.

22 THE COURT: She was standing --

23 \* THE DEFENDANT: I told her before she stood

1 up. There she is, ask her didn't I tell her.

2 THE COURT: Is there anything else you would  
3 like to say?

4 \* THE DEFENDANT: What else can I say? I'm  
5 saying like this here, you know -- oh, the matter --  
6 you say I'm here for a violation also?

7 \* THE COURT: Yes, indeed.

8 \* THE DEFENDANT: Which sentencing order are  
9 we using today, the same one that was used at trial?

10 THE COURT: I will get to that. One step at  
11 a time. Is there anything else you want to say about  
12 Ms. Dunn?

13 THE DEFENDANT: I'll keep it to my myself.

14 THE COURT: I want to hear it. Is there  
15 anything else you want to say?

16 THE DEFENDANT: I think I said enough,  
17 because --

18 THE COURT: Well, let me tell you this. If  
19 you have something else you are holding back on, it  
20 is not going to work in the future. So if there is  
21 anything you want to say about Ms. Dunn, say it now.

22 THE DEFENDANT: It's not going to work in  
23 the future. I'm appealing this, anyway.

1 THE COURT: I am asking you a straight  
2 question, is there anything else you want to say  
3 about Ms. Dunn?

4 THE DEFENDANT: No, I'm not saying nothing.

5 THE COURT: Step aside just for a minute.  
6 Now, Ms. Dunn, he has made some serious accusations  
7 about you.

8 MS. DUNN: Yes, he has, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Can you respond to them, please?

10 MS. DUNN: Well, Your Honor, this is a  
11 sentencing hearing. Is this an appropriate forum?

12 THE COURT: You had better believe it is  
13 appropriate.

14 MS. DUNN: I have a list, Your Honor, of the  
15 meetings and the work that I've done on this case. I  
16 can tell the Court that Mr. Gibbs had an initial  
17 intake interview not with me, but with our  
18 investigator back in June. He waived his preliminary  
19 hearing on the 18th, and during which he spoke to  
20 Mr. Moore of our office about his case. He received  
21 a client letter that I normally send out to the new  
22 clients, on June 19th. Actually, that was sent on  
23 June 23rd. Excuse me.

KATHY S. PURNELL  
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Left without  
Counsel

VI

1 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I figured you  
2 wouldn't want to hear it.

3 THE COURT: No, I have heard it. There is a  
4 difference. There is a difference.

5 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I'm not going to  
6 argue with you, I just want to get this said on the  
7 record, that's all. Where was I at?

8 Okay. Judge Stokes, your conduct as to my  
9 rights to have a fair and impartial trial, ~~you were~~  
10 supposed to be my witness. You were not impartial,  
11 nor fair or disinterested. You were a part of the  
12 conspiracy.

13 THE COURT: Excuse me. What do you mean I  
14 was supposed to be your witness? You said I was  
15 supposed to be your witness?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. I had you down on my  
17 witness list.

18 THE COURT: How in the world am I supposed  
19 to be a witness for you?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Because I had you down as a  
21 witness.

22 THE COURT: So anybody you put on the  
23 witness list becomes your witness?

1 I received my first letter from him on June  
2 30th. I responded to his June 19th letter, enclosing  
3 the escape after conviction statute which explains  
4 the elements of that statute, that offense. I  
5 included in there the entire habitual offender  
6 statute, noticing, as I reviewed his record, that  
7 that might be a possibility down the line in this  
8 case. I sent that out on July 2nd of this past year.

9 I then received two letters from him, one  
10 dated July 9, and one dated July 16. I had a video  
11 meeting with him from my office. He was at SCI on  
12 July 24. That's Meeting No. 1. I had another letter  
13 from him dated that same day. I responded to three  
14 prior letters the following day, July 25. I  
15 responded to his letters of June 30, July 9, and July  
16 16. These letters and my video meeting  
17 questions -- I was following up on. I sent him  
18 information. I sent him a copy of our Rule 16  
19 ~~discovery requests.~~ I sent him a copy of the Smith  
20 and the Flamer cases. I sent him a copy on that  
21 date, July 25th, of the witness form to request that  
22 he send back to me any names that he wants subpoenaed  
23 as witnesses.

Came to see Appellant  
after informing judge

\* Because it is my practice -- I don't speak for all attorneys, but I need to know what those witnesses are going to say. So I prefer to have those witnesses interviewed by an investigator of my office and not talk directly to those witnesses, since I don't want to involve myself in that process.

7 THE COURT: That is a recognized technique.  
8 Because if a lawyer speaks to a witness and it is  
9 just a lawyer and a witness, if it is going to be a  
10 contradiction on what the witness stated, then a  
11 lawyer would have to become a witness and not an  
12 advocate. So it is recognized among trial lawyers  
13 that it is desirable to have a third party take  
14 witness statements, and that has been well recognized  
15 for a long time.

16 MS. DUNN: And I'll continue, Your Honor.  
17 On July 31st I received a letter request from  
18 Mr. Gibbs for a bill of particulars. I also received  
19 a letter on August 13th requesting that we put in a  
20 motion to dismiss. We had case review on September  
21 2nd and I met with him on that date in Superior  
22 Court. That's a meeting, Personal Meeting No. 2, or  
23 a face-to-face meeting.

2

Att: davit of mailing,

State of Delaware  
County of New Castle

Be it remembered that on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of June  
— A.D. 2007, According to Law deposes and says  
that he forwarded a copy of: Appellant Response To States  
Answer

To: James Waklay  
Department of Justice  
Carvel State off. bldg.  
820 N. French St.  
Wilm. Del. 19801

Clerk District Court  
Lock box 18 844 King St.  
Wilmington Del, 19801

by united States mail with Postage pre paid.

Dated: 6-12-07

Edward Gibbs pro-se  
Edward Gibbs

Del. corr. center  
1181 Radbock Road  
Smyrna Del, 19977

M Edwards Gibbs

SBI# 148896 UNIT 1988021

DELAWARE CORRECTIONAL CENTER  
1181 PADDOCK ROAD  
SMYRNA, DELAWARE 19977

Legal mail  
u

Clark District Court

Lockbox 18 Quakine, St.

Wilmington

Del.

19801

Legal mail  
u

RECEIVED  
JUN 13 2007  
FBI - NEW YORK

